

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/407,878	HERNANDEZ III, GASPER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sanjiv D. Shah	2627

All Participants:

Status of Application: Finally Rejected

(1) Sanjiv D. Shah.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas S. Auchterlonie.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

As applied in prior office action

Claims discussed:

1-45

Prior art documents discussed:

As applied in prior office action


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed arguments presented in pre-appeal brief request and explained office interpretation. Applicant's attorney explained their interpretation of claimed invention.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SANJIV SHAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)